

Into the Archive


Potential and Limits of Standardizing the Ingest

iPRES,
San Francisco, 6th of Oct 2009

Jens Ludwig
ludwig@sub.uni-goettingen.de



GOETTINGEN STATE AND
UNIVERSITY LIBRARY, GERMANY



strategial importance



- Beagrie et al 2008: Ingest is biggest cost factor
- It is the first step...
- and nearly always the first question of interested people!
- „Garbage in – Garbage out“: crucial for quality
- So what about a standard for ingest?

complexity of ingest

- Is it possible to have a single ingest standard for long-term preservation?
- The variety of data sources is nearly endless and changing (intell., tech. and org. context)
- The usage contexts are nearly unforeseeable
- Main tasks are not tech., but org.
- A single standard, interface, procedure, etc?
No. What is the alternative?

basic idea of guide



- Streamline the ingest by providing an introduction and common working basis
 - for archives and information producers/providers
 - for planing the ingest
- nestor working group for standards
 - consists of repretatives of libraries and archives
- „Into the Archive - A guide for the information transfer to a digital repository“
 - public draft
 - funding by German Federal Ministry of Education, Federal Ministry for Economics and Technology and the DIN, the German Institute for Standardization.
 - <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:0008-20080710002>

- **Do not use the OAIS terminology**
- Correct OAIS terminology is too difficult for an introduction (e.g. „Information Object“, „Transformational Information Property“)
- But the merits of the OAIS are undeniable, therefore special attention was paid to be compatible to it

main design principle 2



- **Define ingest as transfer of responsibility**
 - and not as a technical transfer
- OAIS defines "ingest" only as a functional entity of an archive and not as a process
- The nestor guide excludes some activities of the OAIS (archive internals)
 -
 - and includes additional ones (interactions between archive and producer like appraisal or the definition of authenticity requirements)

main design principle 3



- **Organize the process in manageable parts**
- Sacrifice comprehensiveness (good enough instead of everything)
- no strict sequences and instructions because of real-life variety and complex dependencies
- So: PAIMAS already exists but it is with its 87 steps too granular and daunting for non-experts

structure



- **Objects**
 - Information to be archived (intell. and tech.)
 - Metadata
 - Significant properties
- **Processes**
 - Transfer packages
 - Validation
 - Transfer
- **Management**
 - Laws and contracts
 - Ingest agreement and documentation
 - Costs and staff (TODO)

first feedback and lessons



- First discussions with potential users have shown demand and interest
- Especially brevity was regarded as positive
- Insight gained in exercises: relevance of people involved (expertise, awareness and common goal)

future work



- Include the viewpoints of more domains (e.g. museum, industry, science)
- Provide more examples, rationales and use cases
- Templates for ingest agreements?
- Tests with more institutions (dp4lib?)

Thank you for your attention!

Questions?